

REMARKS

This election is in response to the Restriction Requirement mailed July 31, 2006 in which restriction was made to the Group I claims 1-13 and 35-37 and the Group II claims 14-29 and 38-43.

Applicant hereby elects, without prejudice, the Group II claims 14-29 and 38-43.


Upon election of the Group II claims, in accordance with the further requirement of the Examiner, the embodiment of Fig. 1A is hereby elected for prosecution on the merits corresponding to claims 14-16, 19-22, 28, and 38-43.

Regarding the further requirement at page 4 in numbered paragraph 6, applicant further elects species c where the areas of greater resolution and the area of lesser resolution are each made of pixels. This corresponds to claims 16 and 38-40.

In accordance with the requirement of paragraph 7 on page 5, applicant elects species i wherein the highly detailed component is mobile corresponding to claim 19.

Regarding the requirement of paragraph 8 beginning on page 5 and concluding on page 6 of the Detailed Action, applicant hereby elects species (1) wherein the successive mixed images are provided from one image source. This corresponds to claims 25 and 26.

Respectfully submitted,


Francis J. Maguire
Attorney for the Applicant
Registration No. 31,391

FJM/mo
Ware, Fressola, Van Der Sluys & Adolphson LLP
755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224
Monroe, CT 06468
(203) 261-1234